N

January 2, 1963

THE VALUE ROUTES EVELY

Cubject: Senator Kesting's Statements on Cuba

Attached is a seminary of Senator Realing's statements as dississed by our files. You will note that there is very little which can be specifically disproved in retrespect.

I have included enough text to give you am accurate flavor of his allegations both before and after Cetaber 14.

Theres L. Rughes Letins

Attachmet:

Survey of Senator Leating's Statements on Cuba

DETERIORATING COPY REPLACED BY ELECTROSTATIC COPY

September 5, 1962 17542-45 September 6, 1962 17613 September 10, 1962 Leptenber 10, 1962 18248 . September 10, 1952 September 13, 1962
September 14, 1962
September 20, 1962
September 24, 1962 18437 September 25, 1962 Sectastre 25, 1962 Ostatur 2, 1982 21529 Ostober 8, 1982 2156-7 Cointer 9, 1962 October 10, 1982 21728 Catabar 11, 1962

For the most part these remarks consist of complaints that the Ministration has emitted to tell certain facts to the American people, but also that strunger action is required regardless of what the facts show.

Fine on September 6, 1962 (Compressional Record, page 1764) Senator Engling saids

The President, I think the best comment on and the best ensure to President Lemody's statement on Soviet aid to Cabe must be given in his can words. We can no langua afford to think only of organized combet forces, of military

bases, of violations of the 1934 treaty, or of officerive ground-to-ground missiles, for as the President himself so very office tively pointed out barely a year ago:

"'Cur security may be lost without the firing of a single missile or the crossing of a single border."

During September and early Cataber, both on and off the Sanate floor, Senator Esating continued to stress the presence of Sokiam "troops"in Cubs, opposition to the President's "offensive - defensive" dichotomy, the scale of Soviet shipping to Cubs, the desirebility of proventing SATO flag shipping to Cubs, and the alleged suppression of information by the Administration.

On October 2, 1962, he made the following specific statement on the Senate floor (Congressional Record, page 20467:

Esambile, the equipment continues to flow from Russia into Cube -- erates that are so large that appoint unloading machinery is required, cargons so nearet that they are unloaded only by hassians or Cascheslovaka unles cover of darkness, containers so long that two railroad cars must be hocked together to earry them.

Ca Cotober 9, Senator Keating took the Senate floor egain (Congressional Record, pages 21664-7) for a full scale review of his views on V.S. policy towards Caba. The appointically treated Gazier Secretary Ball's "edaissions

about mati-elected missiles but dess not make allegations

about missiles of any other kind.

The following day, Cotober 10, Senator Keating again took the Senator floor (Congressional Escord, page 21720) with what must have been new information which he either had obtained during the previous twenty-four hours or had for seen inexplicable reason failed to use before.

The Senator now stated:

Fig. President, yesterday I spoke on the subject of Cuba. At that time I did not have fully confirmed the matter to which I shall address syself now. I now have it fully confirmed. As a result, I call upon the appropriate Covernment officials to confirm or to deny reports of intermediate range micrails bases in Cuba.

"Canathraction has begin on at least a half decon lamining sites for intermediate range tactical missiles. Intelligence authorities must have advised the President and top Government officials of this fact, and they must now have been told that ground-to-ground missiles can be operational from the island of Guba within 6 months.

Ty our sources on the Cultan situation, which have been 100 percent reliable, have substinitiated this report cospletely.

The fact of the matter is, according to my reliable sources, that six lambding sites are under construction—pais which will have the power to hard recipits into the American heart land and as far as the Fanama Camal Lame.

'My would Under Secretary Ball give the committee the impression that new missile situs were

a possibility rather than a fact? Even as possibilities, he indicated thay would be short range rather than intermediate range missile eitas. Why has such a veil been threw around Cuba, beeping this new information from the American people? Are they still trying to per-

Cube, beeping this new information from the American people? Are they still trying to perpetuate the myth that the buildup is defensive? Is it possible anyons in Government is children enough to believe this?

"According to Mr. Walter Lippmann's column of yesterday, the United States has 'an elaborate

of restering to are salter hippened to the of restering the United States has 'an elaborate system of surveillance by sea, by air, and by land and there is every reason to think that its accuracy is very high. Little of military interest can happen without our locating it. We do not have to guess. We know.

If this is true, our coverment is well

aware of the fact that within a setter of menths full may have the capability of launching intermediate range missiles, but the derican people are being kept in the dark. The Soviets know the fact. The Cubens know this fact. But in the view of the minimistration one people are not extitled to know it.

For President, let us have all the facts,

and have then now."

Thice in his Cetober 10 speech Senator Resting referred

to six intermediate range missile sites. Strictly speaking this is a larger number of ILM sites then were in fact

discovered in Cubs a few days later, although Easting
slipped easily between referring to "sites" and "pads"
ont only on Catabar 10 but subsequently in public Statement

not only on October 10 but subsequently in public statements. His statement on the six IAES sites remains, however, the

early technically and provably erroceous statement he said furing the eating period of the Cuba build-up.

In an interview on MBC Monitor Sunday, Movember 4,

Senator Reating reconstructed his resition as follows:

ELETING: Constantly and continually from the time I first spoke on August 31st - in ten Sens speeches, one appearance before the Senate Armed Services Committee, and fourteen other public statements - in nearly every instance the official government agencies denied the accuracy of my remarks. They even sent one of their Senators to the floor to deny them on the floor. He must be quite embarramed today. But time and again when I first told of the buildup of these tanks and trucks and feeps and examition and missiles and terpois toats on the Bist day of August, it was ismediately denied. And then as you remember the President on the 4th day of September told of the existence of sees of these things and said they were purely defensive. Thereupon I said that the question of whether a particular seapon was offensive or defensive desended entirely on the triggermen, the latention of the follow that had it. And coming along later, on the tenth day of Getober, I said that there were six interrodiate missile sites under construction in Caba, that they had been under construction for several leys at that time, and that they would be operational in air months which was much loss than the roal time. I'vo mais it purposaly lenger than I really falt they sould take to be operational. That was decied. And then as you know trelve days later the fresident told the ration that. And I want to say right here and now, that at every time when I spoke on this subject I either had it from official sources or had it frem others and confirmed by official sources before I ever said a sord. And I was ours of the accuracy of the statements then I made thes.

FRASER: Any idea why they were denied?

beffled by it.

The Senator repeated his confirmation statements in subsequent cational television appearances and in a lengthy interview with US Rivs and World Report, Seventor 19, 1962. In the latter interview the following exchanges cocurred:

- Q. Senator, what were the sources of your information about Gubat
- 1. The sources were either (a) sources in the U.S. Covernment, or (b) other sources less than 5 per cent of which were Cuban refugees all of which were verified through official sources of the U.S. Government before I made any statement.
- Q. So you had knowledge before you spoke!
- A. I had haveledge end so did Covernment officials.
- q. Did the President have this incoledge?
- A. I do not know what was on the President's deek.
- Q. What ebout the intermediate-range missiles those with a range of more than 2,000 miles?
- A. The intermediate-missile launching sites deep to a streation in early Cotober. This information as wrifted officially on October 9, and I spoke on October 10. I said that there were six intermediate missile launching sites under construction that would be operational within six months. That was the longest estimate that I had. These sites require large-scale excevation, but under a crash program could be made operational in two to three months.

In this speech I said that under no stretch of the implication could these missile sites be considered defensive installations. Of course, my statement was impediately pounced upon a boing inaccurate.

Desponding to reportura' inquires, the State Deportment flatly denied existence of such sites. As we know, on October 22 the President not only verified what I had said, but added what I had been very except not to say, that the intermediate-range missiles also were there.

q. That was how long after your warning about intermediate-range missile bones?

A. It was 12 days later. As to missiles therefore, what I said was that there had been long objects seen, wrapped up, cylindrical in appearance, which had to be carried by the flatcars becked together. Of course, I did not have photographs. I had no positive information that those were intermediately missiles, but they certainly were too long for ground-to-aly missiles.

Since them I have seen letters exiled from Caba in mid-October which described may more of those 90 to 100-foot mismiles in various parts of the island.

Q. How does it happen that you, a Senator, not in the executive branch of the Government, can get such obviously authoritie information alread of the President? In it possible that hiministration efficials had the information and didn't work to make it, or is it possible you had information not available to the White House and State

Departments

Q: Eny

1. It the time I spake they had, cifficially, the time information that I mad.

On the you sure of that?

ebout it. Their latest attack upon so is to say that if I had this information I should have given it to them. Well, they had it. It is a fact that every channel that I had they were contacting and had the benefit of.

Q. May, then, didn't the top officials react to the information in the sens may that you did?

A. I think that perhans there was a difference of interpretation. For instance, on Cotober 14 on "Issues and Answers" (ADS notwork), Mr. McGeorge Danly, Special Assistant to the President, said he did not believe that the Soviets sculd "attempt to install a major offensive capability" in Cuba.

I am told that there was considerable discussion within the identification as to what the information rount, and that only one proximent person in the high councils placed the same interpretation upon in that I did.

- Q. Yould you say looking back on all they has happened that this country had earable intelligran information and that the real contraversy is over what was was made of it?
- intelligence gap bers, but there was no intelligence gap bers, but their the top-level interpretation of the intelligence left searthing to be desired.

(ECE: The same large of Salted States Here and World Report, Movember 19, 1962, contains the following paragraph under the heading "Mechington Malayare":

John Johns, Director of the Control Intelligence Application, who shame the limiting to had his reputation enhanced at the White Bouse with his early reporting and correct appraisal of the Coylet building in Cate. Some White Bouse advisors argued that the Resilies sould not dere have assisted mississ in Cate, and hald to their positions with Jacobsky files evidence proved these argued.